

Problems for exercise – Gauss's method for systems of linear equations

Solve on your own the systems using Gauss's method with a chosen pivot element 1) and without a chosen pivot element. Work with simple fractions:

a)
$$\begin{vmatrix} 10x_1 & -7x_2 & = 7 \\ -3x_1 & +2x_2 & +6x_3 & = 4 \\ 5x_1 & -x_2 & +5x_3 & = 6 \end{vmatrix}$$
 b) $\begin{vmatrix} x_1 & +2x_2 & +3x_3 & = 7 \\ -2x_1 & -4x_2 & -5x_3 & = -12 \\ 3x_1 & +5x_2 & +6x_3 & = 15 \end{vmatrix}$

b)
$$\begin{vmatrix} x_1 + 2x_2 + 3x_3 &= 7 \\ -2x_1 - 4x_2 - 5x_3 &= -12 \\ 3x_1 + 5x_2 + 6x_3 &= 15 \end{vmatrix}$$

Answer: (0,-1,1)

Answer: (1, 0, 2)

c)
$$\begin{vmatrix} x_1 & +2x_2 & -3x_3 & +x_4 & =-6 \\ -x_1 & -x_2 & +2x_3 & =3 \\ 2x_1 & +x_2 & +2x_3 & -x_4 & =12 \\ x_2 & -x_3 & +2x_4 & =-4 \end{vmatrix}$$

Answer: (2, 1, 3, -1)

Commentary: If you worked conscientiously you will notice that in both cases (with or without a chosen pivot element) you will get the same exact results.

2) Solve the system using Gauss's method by using a calculating device

$$2,4759x_1 + 1,6235x_2 + 4,6231x_3 = 0,0647$$

 $1,4725x_1 + 0,9589x_2 - 1,3253x_3 = 1,0475$
 $2,6951x_1 + 2,8965x_2 - 1,4794x_3 = -0,6789$

- a) without choosing a pivot element;
- б) choosing a pivot element.

In both cases do the calculations with four digits after the decimal comma.

Answer:

If working without a chosen pivot element you will get:

$$x_3 = -0.2443$$
, $x_2 = -2.0532$, $x_1 = 1.8286$.

If working with a chosen pivot element you will get:

$$x_3 = -0.2442$$
, $x_2 = -2.0716$, $x_1 = 1.8405$.

Why are the answers different? Which answer is better?

3) A system is given:

$$0.05x_1 + 0.07x_2 + 0.06x_3 + 0.05x_4 = 0.23$$

 $0.07x_1 + 0.10x_2 + 0.08x_3 + 0.07x_4 = 0.32$
 $0.06x_1 + 0.08x_2 + 0.10x_3 + 0.09x_4 = 0.33$
 $0.05x_1 + 0.07x_2 + 0.09x_3 + 0.10x_4 = 0.31$

The exact solution of this system is (1, 1, 1, 1).

Solve the system using Gauss's method:

- a) without choosing a pivot element;
- б) with a chosen pivot element from a column, row or from the entire matrix. Keep four decimal digits in the calculations. How can you explain your results?

By Iliya Makrelov, ilmak@uni-plovdiv.bg