

Example of coefficient instability of the Gauss elimination method for solving linear system of algebraic equations

If a choice of the pivoting element in the Gauss elimination method is not applied there can be a loss of accuracy. In the next example we assume that we are working with a hypothetical machine which realizes arithmetic by a floating-point system in base 2 and 5 significant digits. Let us solve the system below at these conditions using the Gauss method without a choice of a pivoting element. The exact solution is (0, -1, 1).

$$\begin{cases} 10x_1 & -7x_2 & & = 7 \\ -3x_1 & +2,099x_2 & +6x_3 & = 3,901 \\ 5x_1 & & -x_2 & +5x_3 = 6 \end{cases}$$

Solution:

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} 10 & -7 & 0 & 7 \\ -3 & 2,099 & 6 & 3,901 \\ 5 & -1 & 5 & 6 \end{array} \right) : (10) \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & -0,7 & 0 & 0,7 \\ -3 & 2,099 & 6 & 3,901 \\ 5 & -1 & 5 & 6 \end{array} \right) \begin{array}{l} (3) \cdot (-5) \\ \leftarrow \\ \leftarrow \end{array} \quad \leftrightarrow$$

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & -0,7 & 0 & 0,7 \\ 0 & -10^{-3} & 6 & 6,001 \\ 0 & 2,5 & 5 & 2,5 \end{array} \right) : (-10^{-3}) \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & -0,7 & 0 & 0,7 \\ 0 & 1 & -6 \cdot 10^3 & -6,001 \cdot 10^3 \\ 0 & 2,5 & 5 & 2,5 \end{array} \right) \begin{array}{l} \cdot (-2,5) \\ \leftarrow \end{array} \quad \leftrightarrow$$

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & -0,7 & 0 & 0,7 \\ 0 & 1 & -6 \cdot 10^3 & -6,001 \cdot 10^3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1,5005 \cdot 10^4 & 1,5004 \cdot 10^4 \end{array} \right)$$

In the **second** line of the **before last** table we have $-6,001 \cdot 10^3 \cdot (-2,5) = 1,50025 \cdot 10^4$. Here we have more than five significant digits and we must round off. We have two options:

- 1) Either remove the last digit and get $1,5002 \cdot 10^4$.
- 2) Or roof it, in other words use $1,5003 \cdot 10^4$.

Afterwards 2.5 is added to the result.

Let us assume that we use option 1). Then we will have: $1.5002 \cdot 10^4 + 2,5 = 1,50045 \cdot 10^4$ and the last digit will again be removed and ultimately we will have $1,5004 \cdot 10^4$.

The last equation will have the form: $1,5005 \cdot 10^4 x_3 = 1,5004 \cdot 10^4$, where $x_3 = 0,99993$. At first this is good. Afterwards x_2 must be defined by the equation $x_2 - 6 \cdot 10^3 x_3 = -6,001 \cdot 10^3$, or $x_2 = -6,001 \cdot 10^3 + 6 \cdot 10^3 \cdot 0,99993 = -1,5$.

Eventually from the first equation we calculate $x_1 = -0,35$.

We see, that the derived result $(-0,35, -1,5, 0,99993)$ is far from the exact solution $(0, -1, 1)$. Careful analysis shows that the loss of accuracy is due to the 'small' number 10^{-3} in the second line of the third transformation. The latter can be avoided when solving by using the Gauss method with a choice of a pivoting element.

Author: Snejana Gocheva-Ilieva, snow@pu.acad.bg