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#### Abstract

Home team advantage in sports is widely analysed phenomenon. This paper builds on results of recent research that - instead of points gained - uses goals scored and conceded to describe home team advantage. Using this approach, the home team advantage is random variable that can be described by trinomial distribution, and it is possible to use Jeffrey divergence and test for homogeneity of parallel samples to compare and test home team advantage of different leagues. The paper also introduces procedure that is based on identification of a ll distances between home a dvantages of 1 eagues. $T$ hese distances are later used to construct disconnected graph with components that contain leagues with similar home team advantage. Procedures are demonstrated on five top level English football leagues and two top level Spanish leagues from the 2007/2008 season to the 2016/2017 season.
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Home team advantage in sports is widely analysed phenomenon. Usually, the home team advantage is analysed by comparing the number of points that a team earned at its home ground with the total number of points that the team earned. This paper builds on results of recent research that - instead of points gained - uses goals scored and conceded to describe home team advantage.
We define random variable $C$ combined measure of home team advantage that - according to results between teams - can take values -1 (away team advantage), 0 (no advantage), and 1 (home team advantage). Random variables $Z_{r}, r=-1,0,1$ describe number of cases (in a season) where it is possible to identify home team advantage ( $r=1$ ), away team advantage ( $r=-1$ ), and no advantage $(r=0)$, i.e. $Z_{r}$ sums number of cases where $C=r$. Vector $\left(Z_{-1}, Z_{0}, Z_{1}\right)$ follows trinomial distribution.

To compare leagues, we use Jeffrey divergence - symmetric version of Kullback-Leibler distance that allows to measure distances between probability distributions that describe home team advantage. Next, approach based on the Test for homogeneity of parallel samples allows to test hypothesis $H_{0}$ : Two samples come from the same population (or in our case: that home advantage in both tested
leagues is described by the same distribution) against alternative hypothesis $H_{1}$ : Two samples do not come from the same population (or in our case: that home advantage in both tested leagues come from different distributions). Moreover, $\chi^{2}$ statistic used in this test is also used to measure distances between probability distributions that describes home team advantage. The paper also introduces procedure that is based on identification of all distances between home advantages of leagues. These distances are later used to construct disconnected graph with components that contain leagues with similar home team advantage. Procedures are demonstrated on five top level English football leagues and two top level Spanish leagues from the 2007/2008 season to the 2016/2017 season.

## 1 Introduction

Home team advantage in sports was investigated by many researchers, for exhaustive study see Pollard and Pollard [6] and Allen and Jones [1]. Home team advantage in these papers is based on comparing the number of points that a team earned at its home ground with the total number of points that the team earned. Marek and Vávra [5] used approach where - instead of points - home team advantage is based on number of goals scored and conceded. This approach is used in this paper because of its advantage that can be illustrated by the following example. Let us assume that two teams $A$ and $B$ played each other exactly two times in a season, and team $A$ won both matches: 4-1 at the home ground and 2-1 at the home ground of team $B$. Using points in this situation will result in conclusion that team $A$ won $50 \%$ of points at the home ground, i.e. that there is no home team advantage. However, using goals and their differences - for team $A: 3$ goals at the home ground and 1 goal at the away team ground - shows that team $A$ played better at the home ground. The same holds true for the team $B$ who lost by 1 goal at the home ground and by 3 goals at the away team ground, i.e. the better result was recorded at the home ground.
Marek and Vávra [5] defined three types of measures: Active measure of home team advantage that takes into account difference between goals scored at home and away; Passive measure of home team advantage that takes into account difference between goals conceded at home and away; and Combined measure of home team advantage - used in this paper and specified in Definition 1 - that takes into account both goals scored and conceded.

Definition 1 Combined measure of home team advantage is random variable $C$ that can take values $-1,0$, and 1 . $C=-1$ for team $T_{1}$ if two matches between teams $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ in a season ended with a better result - measured by goal differences in matches - for team $T_{1}$ away from home (at $T_{2}$ 's ground). $C=0$ for team $T_{1}$ if goal differences in both matches were exactly the same from $T_{1}$ 's point of view, and $C=1$ for team $T_{1}$ if this team recorded better result - measured by goal differences in matches - at its own ground. With results $h_{T_{1}}: a_{T_{2}}$ at the home ground of team $T_{1}$ and $h_{T_{2}}: a_{T_{1}}$ at the home ground of team $T_{2}$ the value of random variable $C$ for team $T_{1}$ is determined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
C=\operatorname{sgn}\left(\left(h_{T_{1}}-a_{T_{2}}\right)-\left(a_{T_{1}}-h_{T_{2}}\right)\right) . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 2 Data and Methods

This section describes data and methods used for the estimation of parameters. It also introduces approach to compare home team advantage of different leagues.

### 2.1 Compared Leagues

Home team advantage in five top level English football leagues and two top level Spanish football leagues are compared by the methods described in the following subsections. Results of ten latest seasons - i.e. from the 2007/2008 season to the 2016/2017 season - used in the comparison are obtained from [3]. The following leagues are used for England:

- Premier League (level 1), $E N G_{1}$,
- English Football League Championship (level 2), $E N G_{2}$,
- English Football League One (level 3), $E N G_{3}$,
- English Football League Two (level 4), $E N G_{4}$,
- National League (level 5), $E N G_{5}$.

Next two leagues are used for Spain:

- La Liga (level 1), $E S P_{1}$,
- Segunda Divisíon (level 2), $E S P_{2}$.

Websites [7] and [4] were used for basic control of all data, e.g., total number of goals scored by each team in the whole season, and to fill in results that were occasionally not recorded in the original source. Following matches were excluded (and consequently the opposite matches): Blackpool vs. Huddersfield in 2014/2015 season of $E N G_{2}$ (because the match was abandoned after 48 minutes of play, and the final result $0-0$ reflects only these 48 minutes) and all matches of Chester City in 2009/2010 season of $E N G_{5}$ (because Chester City was expelled during the season).

### 2.2 Parameters estimation method

A balanced schedule was used in all seasons, i.e. each team played each other team exactly two times, once as a home team and once as a visiting team. For example, the English Premier League consisted of 20 teams in all seasons and total number of matches in one season is always 380 . The combined measure of home team advantage joins results of the first part of the season (where team $A$ plays at its home ground against team $B$ ) with results of the second part of the season (where team $A$ plays against team $B$ at $B$ 's home ground). Therefore, 190 observations of combined measure are available for one season in the English Premier League.
Let $Z_{r}, r=-1,0,1$ are random variables which describe number of cases (in a season) where it is possible to identify home team advantage ( $r=1$ ), away team advantage ( $r=-1$ ) and no advantage $(r=0)$, i.e. $Z_{r}$ sums number of cases where $C=r$. Vector $\left(Z_{-1}, Z_{0}, Z_{1}\right)$ follows trinomial distribution with parameters $p_{-1}, p_{0}, p_{1}$, and $K$ with probability function

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(k_{-1}, k_{0}, k_{1}\right)=\frac{K!}{k_{-1}!k_{0}!k_{1}!} p_{-1}^{k_{-1}} p_{0}^{k_{0}} p_{1}^{k_{1}}, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K$ is total number of observations of combined measure in a season, $p_{-1}, p_{0}, p_{1}$ are probabilities of occurring home team advantage ( $r=1$ ), away team advantage ( $r=-1$ ) and no advantage ( $r=0$ ). $k_{-1}, k_{0}, k_{1}\left(k_{-1}+k_{0}+k_{1}=K\right)$ are observations of appropriate advantage.

Maximum likelihood estimator of parameters $p_{r}, r=-1,0,1$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{p}_{r}=\frac{k_{r}}{K}, \quad r=-1,0,1 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The results of the highest leagues in England and Spain in the 2016/2017 season are used to illustrate previous procedure. Observed counts of combined measure of home team advantage are in Table 1, and estimated parameters are later presented in Table 2

| League | $k_{-1}$ | $k_{0}$ | $k_{1}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $E N G_{1}$ | 56 | 34 | 100 |
| $E S P_{1}$ | 52 | 41 | 97 |

Tab. 1. Observed counts of combined measure in 2016/2017 season.

| League | $\hat{p}_{-1}$ | $\hat{p}_{0}$ | $\hat{p}_{1}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $E N G_{1}$ | 0.295 | 0.179 | 0.526 |
| $E S P_{1}$ | 0.274 | 0.216 | 0.511 |

Tab. 2. Estimated probabilities in 2016/2017 season.

### 2.3 Methods of Comparison

Two approaches were adopted to compare home team advantage in different leagues. The first one is Jeffrey divergence $(J D)$, i.e. symmetric version of Kullback-Leibler distance, which allows to measure distance between two probability distributions $P$ and $Q$

$$
\begin{equation*}
J D(P, Q)=\sum_{x}\left(p(x) \ln \frac{p(x)}{q(x)}+q(x) \ln \frac{q(x)}{p(x)}\right) . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

More about Jeffrey divergence can be found in Deza and Deza [2] (page 185). Equation 2 allows to measure distance between probability distributions of $\left(Z_{-1}, Z_{0}, Z_{1}\right)$ for each two leagues. These distances can be compared to find leagues with similar, or, on the contrary, different home team advantages. Values of $J D$ are frequently small, therefore - for better readability in the following parts - we multiply them by 100. Jeffrey divergence (multiplied by 100) of data presented in Table 2 is 0.894 .

The second approach is based on the Test for homogeneity of parallel samples that allows to test hypothesis $H_{0}$ : Two samples come from the same population (or in our case: that home advantage in both tested leagues is described by the same distribution) against alternative hypothesis $H_{1}$ : Two samples do not come from the same population (or in our case: that home advantage in both tested leagues come from different distributions). $\chi^{2}$ statistic can be - for the case with two leagues computed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi^{2}=\sum_{r=-1}^{1} \frac{\left(n_{1, r}-\frac{n_{1, n} n_{, r}}{n_{2}}\right)^{2}}{\frac{n_{1, n}, n, r}{n_{., r}}}+\sum_{r=-1}^{1} \frac{\left(n_{2, r}-\frac{n_{2, n}, n_{, r}}{n_{., r}}\right)^{2}}{\frac{n_{2, .,}}{n_{., r}}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

|  | $C=-1$ | $C=0$ | $C=1$ | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample 1 | $n_{1,-1}$ | $n_{1,0}$ | $n_{1,1}$ | $n_{1, .}$ |
| Sample 2 | $n_{2,-1}$ | $n_{2,0}$ | $n_{2,1}$ | $n_{2, .}$ |
| Total | $n_{.,-1}$ | $n_{., 0}$ | $n_{., 1}$ | $n_{., .}$ |

Tab. 3. Observed numbers in given sample and class.
where appropriate observed numbers ( $n_{1,-1}, n_{1,0}$, etc.) are obtained according to Table 3 .
Assymptotical distribution of $\chi^{2}$ statistic for the data in Table 3 is $\chi^{2}$ distribution with two degrees of freedom (i.e. number of classes reduced by one). More about this test and its more general form can be found in [8] (pages 398-402).
$\chi^{2}$ statistic for the data presented in Table 1 is 0.847 , and corresponding $p$-value is 0.655 . The hypothesis $H_{0}$ that home team advantage in $E N G_{1}$ (Premier League) and $E S P_{1}$ (La Liga) in the season 2016/2017 is described by the same distribution cannot be rejected, i.e. we cannot reject that there is no difference in home team advantage (measured by combined measure) in these two leagues in the 2016/2017 season.

Method based on $\chi^{2}$ statistic can be used to test whether the home team advantage in two leagues differ or not. Next, both methods - the first based on $J D$ and the second based on $\chi^{2}$ - can be used to identify groups of leagues with similar home team advantage. In this case, we will proceed according to these steps:

- construct graph, where nodes represent leagues, and edges represent distance ( $J D$ or $\chi^{2}$ ) between leagues,
- remove the edge with the highest distance from the graph,
- repeat the previous step until the original full graph becomes disconnected, i.e. until two components are obtained.

Previous procedure will identify two groups of leagues where distance ( $J D$ or $\chi^{2}$ ) between any league from the first group and the second group is always equal or greater than the last removed distance of the graph. This procedure can be further used to obtain more than two components.

## 3 Results

The first part will present full results for data summed over all ten seasons for each league. Next part will present major findings for single seasons.

### 3.1 Categorization by Leagues

In this part, we do not distinguish among seasons; therefore, the findings are valid for leagues in general (or, more precisely, for the last ten seasons).

Table 4 presents observed counts of combined measure for all leagues over all seasons, i.e. from the 2007/2008 season to the 2016/2017 season. $E N G_{4}$ recorded the lowest value of $\hat{p}_{1}$ and $E S P_{1}$ recorded the highest value. The second highest value of $\hat{p}_{1}$ was recorded by $E S P_{2}$. Both $E S P_{1}$ and $E S P_{2}$ recorded two lowest values of $\hat{p}_{-1}$. Based on these numbers, we can formulate hypothesis that home team advantage in Spain is higher than in England.
$J D$ divergence (multiplied by 100) is used to measure distances between each two leagues, and results are presented in Table 5. For example, the distance between the English Premier League and Spanish

| League | $k=-1$ | $k=0$ | $k=1$ | Total | $\hat{p}_{-1}$ | $\hat{p}_{0}$ | $\hat{p}_{1}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $E N G_{1}$ | 520 | 346 | 1034 | 1900 | 0.274 | 0.182 | 0.544 |
| $E N G_{2}$ | 796 | 510 | 1453 | 2759 | 0.289 | 0.185 | 0.527 |
| $E N G_{3}$ | 845 | 503 | 1412 | 2760 | 0.306 | 0.182 | 0.512 |
| $E N G_{4}$ | 922 | 518 | 1320 | 2760 | 0.334 | 0.188 | 0.478 |
| $E N G_{5}$ | 845 | 494 | 1398 | 2737 | 0.309 | 0.180 | 0.511 |
| $E S P_{1}$ | 484 | 304 | 1112 | 1900 | 0.255 | 0.160 | 0.585 |
| $E S P_{2}$ | 600 | 438 | 1272 | 2310 | 0.260 | 0.190 | 0.551 |

Tab. 4. Observed counts of combined measure for all ten seasons with appropriate estimated probabilities.

La Liga is quantified by $100 \cdot J D\left(E N G_{1}, E S P_{1}\right)=0.721$. This gives us brief look at difference between home team advantage in studied leagues. The procedure described in section 2.3 is used to obtain disconnected graph (where nodes are leagues, and edges are distances between leagues). After removing edges - the last removed edge is between $E N G_{1}$ and $E S P_{1}$ - we obtain six leagues in one group (white colour in Table 5) and $E S P_{1}$ in the second group (grey colour in Table 5).

| League | $E N G_{1}$ | $E N G_{2}$ | $E N G_{3}$ | $E N G_{4}$ | $E N G_{5}$ | $E S P_{1}$ | $E S P_{2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $E N G_{1}$ |  | 0.140 | 0.566 | 2.072 | 0.636 | 0.721 | 0.111 |
| $E N G_{2}$ | 0.140 |  | 0.152 | 1.138 | 0.196 | 1.398 | 0.421 |
| $E N G_{3}$ | 0.566 | 0.152 |  | 0.484 | 0.004 | 2.226 | 1.080 |
| $E N G_{4}$ | 2.072 | 1.138 | 0.484 |  | 0.442 | 4.752 | 2.892 |
| $E N G_{5}$ | 0.636 | 0.196 | 0.004 | 0.442 |  | 2.299 | 1.191 |
| $E S P_{1}$ | 0.721 | 1.398 | 2.226 | 4.752 | 2.299 |  | 0.724 |
| $E S P_{2}$ | 0.111 | 0.421 | 1.080 | 2.892 | 1.191 | 0.724 |  |

Tab. 5. JD divergence for leagues over all ten seasons.
Last view is based on $\chi^{2}$ statistic. Table 6 presents $p$-values for leagues over all ten seasons. All $p$-values are based on $\chi^{2}$ distribution with two degrees of freedom; therefore, values of $\chi^{2}$ are not presented, and values in Table 6 can be easily used to identify which leagues are more distant (the lower $p$-value, the higher distance). The procedure to obtain disconnected graph was also used for distances based on $\chi^{2}$. The result is exactly the same as in the previous case, i.e. $E S P_{1}$ belongs to one group and the rest of leagues to the other group.

| League | $E N G_{1}$ | $E N G_{2}$ | $E N G_{3}$ | $E N G_{4}$ | $E N G_{5}$ | $E S P_{1}$ | $E S P_{2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $E N G_{1}$ |  | 0.456 | $0.042^{*}$ | $<0.001^{*}$ | $0.029^{*}$ | $0.033^{*}$ | 0.561 |
| $E N G_{2}$ | 0.456 |  | 0.350 | $<0.001^{*}$ | 0.260 | $<0.001^{*}$ | 0.071 |
| $E N G_{3}$ | $0.042^{*}$ | 0.350 |  | $0.036^{*}$ | 0.973 | $<0.001^{*}$ | $0.001^{*}$ |
| $E N G_{4}$ | $<0.001^{*}$ | $<0.001^{*}$ | $0.036^{*}$ |  | $0.048^{*}$ | $<0.001^{*}$ | $<0.001^{*}$ |
| $E N G_{5}$ | $0.029^{*}$ | 0.260 | 0.973 | $0.048^{*}$ |  | $<0.001^{*}$ | $0.001^{*}$ |
| $E S P_{1}$ | $0.033^{*}$ | $<0.001^{*}$ | $<0.001^{*}$ | $<0.001^{*}$ | $<0.001^{*}$ |  | $0.024^{*}$ |
| $E S P_{2}$ | 0.561 | 0.071 | $0.001^{*}$ | $<0.001^{*}$ | $0.001^{*}$ | $0.024^{*}$ |  |

Tab. 6. $p$-values for leagues over all ten seasons.
Asterisk in Table 6 is used to identify $p$-values lower that 0.05 , i.e. pairs of leagues where - on $5 \%$ level of significance - the hypothesis $H_{0}$ that home team advantage in these two leagues is described
by the same distribution can be rejected, and the alternative $H_{1}$ that home team advantage in these two leagues is described by different distributions can be accepted. Test of $E S P_{1}$ with any other league results in rejection of $H_{0}$ and acceptance of $H_{1}$, i.e. that there is difference in home team advantage. We can interpret this result - taking into account values from Table 4 - that home team advantage in $E S P_{1}$ is significantly stronger than in other tested leagues.

Next league with all $p$-values lower that 0.05 is $E N G_{4}$. In this case we can interpret this result taking into account values from Table 4 - that home team advantage in $E N G_{4}$ is significantly weaker than in other tested leagues. This result is supported by the fact that if the procedure of finding components in Table 5 and Table 6 is be used to find three components then one component is $E S P_{1}$ (higher home team advantage), the second component is $E N G_{4}$ (lower home team advantage), and the third component is group of rest leagues.

### 3.2 Categorization by Leagues and Seasons

Previous part suggests that the highest difference is between $E S P_{1}$ and $E N G_{4}$ (for example, the highest $J D$ divergence in Table 5); therefore, these two leagues are chosen to present evolution of $\hat{p}_{1}$ in Figure 1. Moreover, this figure contains evolution of $\hat{p}_{1}$ for the English Premier League $E N G_{1}$. Other leagues are omitted to maintain readability of the figure. Point estimate of $p_{1}$ for $E S P_{1}$ is in all seasons above point estimate of $p_{1}$ for $E N G_{4}$ and usually above point estimate of $p_{1}$ for $E N G_{1}$.


Fig. 1. Evolution of $\hat{p}_{1}$ for $E N G_{1}, E N G_{4}$, and $E S P_{1}$.
The test for homogeneity of parallel samples (see Section 2.3) can be used identify differences in home team advantage between leagues in single seasons. Here, we will present only results for the test of $E S P_{1}$ against $E N G_{1}$ and $E N G_{4}$. Hypothesis $H_{0}$ that home team advantage in $E S P_{1}$ and $E N G_{4}$ is described by the same distribution cannot be rejected in three seasons: 2008/2009, 2009/2010, and 2016/2017. The hypothesis $H_{0}$ can be rejected in the rest of tested seasons, and its alternative $H_{1}$ that home team advantage in $E S P_{1}$ and $E N G_{4}$ is described by different distributions can be accepted. The same test where $E S P_{1}$ and $E N G_{1}$ are used is not able to reject $H_{0}$ in all tested seasons. These results suggest that when single seasons are used then - to identify difference in home team advantage - the difference has to be strong. Therefore, we will use the procedure based on searching components of graph (see section 2.3).

Components obtained in every season are presented in Table 7. Results are based on distance measured by $\chi^{2}$ statistic that was defined in Equation 5. For example, a graph with two components is obtained in the 2010/2011 season. The first component contains $E N G_{2}, E N G_{3}, E N G_{4}$, and $E N G_{5}$, and the second component contains $E N G_{1}, E S P_{1}$, and $E S P_{2}$. The interpretation is based on values of $\hat{p}_{r}, r=-1,0,1$. The first component contains leagues where all leagues have value of $\hat{p}_{-1}$ higher than leagues of the second component. The situation in the case of $\hat{p}_{1}$ is the opposite, and all leagues of the second component have value of $\hat{p}_{1}$ higher than leagues of the first component. We emphasize that words higher and lower are here used only to distinguish between two components (i.e. the meaning is relative), and it should not be interpreted as components with high or low home team advantage. Other leagues - except $E N G_{3}$ - are consequently classified as leagues with higher home team advantage.

| Season | Lower home adv. | Higher home adv. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $2007 / 2008$ | $E N G_{4}$ | all others |
| $2008 / 2009$ | all others | $E S P_{2}$ |
| $2009 / 2010$ | all others | $E N G_{1}$ |
| $2010 / 2011$ | all others | $E N G_{1}, E S P_{1,2}$ |
| $2011 / 2012$ | all others | $E S P_{1}$ |
| $2012 / 2013$ | all others | $E S P_{1,2}$ |
| $2013 / 2014$ | $E N G_{2,3,4}$ | all others |
| $2014 / 2015^{*}$ | $E N G_{3}$ | all others |
| $2015 / 2016^{* *}$ | $E N G_{4}$ | $E N G_{1,2,5}, E S P_{1,2}$ |
| $2016 / 2017$ | all others | $E S P_{2}$ |

Tab. 7. Obtained components in seasons (based on $\chi^{2}$ ).

Table 7 contains two remarks. The first remark (*) is for the 2014/2015 season which is the only season where components obtained by the same procedure but with distances based on $J D$ divergence (Equation 4) are different. Components in the case of $J D$ divergence are $E S P_{1}$ with higher home team advantage and all others with lower home team advantage. The second remark ( ${ }^{* *}$ ) belongs to the 2015/2016 season where obtained components are $E N G_{3}$ and all others. This is the only season where the conclusion is not made in favour of higher or lower home team advantage, and it is possible to observe both situations at the expense of the lower value of $\hat{p}_{0} . E N G_{3}$ has the second highest value of $\hat{p}_{-1}$ and also of $\hat{p}_{1}$. Therefore, the procedure continued to obtain third component ( $E N G_{4}$ ) which can be classified as a league with lower home team advantage.

## 4 Conclusion

This paper introduced procedure for comparison of the home team advantage in different leagues. The procedure uses combined measure of home team advantage. This measure is based on both goals scored and conceded; and therefore, it is able to detect home team advantage in a better way than usual approach based on points gained. Two procedures were proposed - the first is based on determining distances between leagues, and the second uses classical statistical hypothesis testing. Distances can be further used to obtain groups of leagues with similar home team advantage based.

Procedures applied on five top level English football leagues and two top level Spanish leagues suggest that home team advantage in Spain is higher that in England. More specifically, La Liga (level 1 Spanish league) has the highest home team advantage among the analysed leagues, and English

Football League Two (level 4 English league) has the lowest home team advantage among analysed leagues. More convincing results are obtained when all ten analysed seasons are used together.
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